Asymptotic enumeration of rooted binary unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls

$_3$ $\,$ Lily Agranat-Tamir 1 \boxtimes

Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Michael Fuchs \boxtimes **■**

Department of Mathematical Sciences, National Chengchi University, Taipei 116, Taiwan

Bernhard Gittenberger $□$

Department of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner

Hauptstraße 8-10/104, A-1040 Wien Austria

10 **Noah A. Rosenberg** \boxtimes \bullet

Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Abstract

 Galled trees appear in problems concerning admixture, horizontal gene transfer, hybridization, and recombination. Building on a recursive enumerative construction, we study the asymptotic behavior of the number of rooted binary unlabeled (normal) galled trees as the number of leaves *n* increases, maintaining a fixed number of galls *g*. We find that the exponential growth with *n* of the number of rooted binary unlabeled normal galled trees with *g* galls has the same value irrespective of the value of $g \geq 0$. The subexponential growth, however, depends on *g*; it follows $c_g n^{2g-3/2}$, where c_g is a constant dependent on g . Although for each g , the exponential growth is approximately 2.4833^n , summing across all g , the exponential growth is instead approximated by the much larger 4.8230^n .

 2012 ACM Subject Classification Mathematics of computing, enumeration; Mathematics of com-puting, generating functions.

- **Keywords and phrases** galled trees, generating functions, phylogenetics, unlabeled trees.
- **Digital Object Identifier** [10.4230/LIPIcs.AofA.2024.19](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.AofA.2024.19)
- **Funding** *Michael Fuchs*: National Science and Technology Council grant NSTC-111-2115-M-004- 002-MY2
- *Noah A. Rosenberg*: National Science Foundation grant BCS-2116322.

1 Introduction

 Rooted binary trees are a staple of mathematical phylogenetic analysis, as they are used to represent diverse biological processes taking place in time—including the evolution of species, the evolution of genes among those species, and the divergence of populations [\[9,](#page-12-0) [21,](#page-13-0) [24\]](#page-13-1). The root represents a common ancestor, and the leaves represent subsequent biological entities, often in the present day. Viewed as objects evolving in time, by extension of "vertical" inheritance that occurs in genetic transmission from parents to offspring, biological divergences are viewed as taking place vertically on the tree. Mathematical phylogenetic analyses of trees have produced rich contributions to algorithmic and combinatorial studies. Certain evolutionary events, however, involve *merging* rather than *divergence* of biological lineages. Such events include the recombination that occurs during gamete formation, population admixture, horizontal gene transfer, and hybridization. To describe processes

 corresponding author

© Lily Agranat-Tamir, Michael Fuchs, Bernhard Gittenberger, and Noah A. Rosenberg; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

³⁵th International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms.

Editors: C. Mailler and S. Wild; Article No. 19; pp. 19:1–19:14 [Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics](https://www.dagstuhl.de/lipics/)

[Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany](https://www.dagstuhl.de)

that include these events, we must look beyond trees to *phylogenetic networks* [\[14,](#page-13-2) [17,](#page-13-3) [18\]](#page-13-4).

Figure 1 Features of a gall in a galled tree. (A) A gall as a representation of a biological merging event. Biological lineages *a* and *b* each bifurcate, with one branch of each bifurcation merging to form lineage *c*. (B) Nomenclature for the various nodes in a gall.

 Among the phylogenetic networks, galled trees are some of the simplest. As their name suggests, they are tree-like, but they can contain certain internal nodes with in-degree 2 and out-degree 1, representing permitted classes of mergings. Galled trees are named for ⁴⁴ the growths, termed *galls*, which appear in plants but which do not disrupt their tree-like structure. They were first introduced in the study of recombination [\[15,](#page-13-5) [16,](#page-13-6) [23\]](#page-13-7).

 Mathematically, a galled tree allows each vertex or edge in a graph to be contained in at most one cycle. An additional requirement is needed for galled trees to be meaningful for biological processes such as hybridization. In a hybridization event, two biological lineages, *a* ⁴⁹ and *b*, each bifurcate; a merging event occurs between two branches, one from each bifurcation, producing a third lineage, *c* (Figure [1A](#page-1-0)). The structure of the event requires that when viewed graphically, a gall—a cycle in the graph—contains at least four nodes. These include a *top node*, two *hybridizing nodes*, and one *hybrid node*. Additional *side nodes* are permitted, and we regard the hybridizing nodes as special side nodes (Figure [1B](#page-1-0)). The requirement that galls have at least these four nodes (i.e. the top node must not be a hybridizing node) is equivalent to a requirement that galled trees be *normal*.

⁵⁶ Many enumerative problems on galled trees have been investigated [\[3,](#page-12-1) [4,](#page-12-2) [5,](#page-12-3) [22\]](#page-13-8); this study ⁵⁷ concerns rooted binary unlabeled normal galled (non-plane) trees. Given number of galls 58 *g*, as the number of leaves $n \to \infty$, what is the growth of the size of this class? The case σ of $q = 0$ is the enumeration of rooted binary unlabeled trees, and we previously studied ω g = 1 [\[1\]](#page-12-4). Building on a recurrence for rooted binary unlabeled normal galled trees with σ ₁ *n* leaves and *g* galls, we obtain a generating function for $g = 2$. We find the asymptotic ϵ_2 behavior of the number of trees with *n* leaves and $q = 2$ galls, and we obtain asymptotics for 63 each $g > 2$. In our main result, Theorem [10,](#page-10-0) we report that the number of galled trees with α *n* leaves and *g* galls has the form $\beta_g n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n}$, where *ρ* is the radius of convergence of the 65 generating function for the $g = 0$ case, and β_g is a constant that depends solely on *g*.

⁶⁶ **2 Definitions**

 67 We define our concepts formally. We assume that all networks and trees are binary; we ⁶⁸ usually drop the term *binary*. A *rooted phylogenetic network* is a directed acyclic graph in ⁶⁹ which four properties hold. (i) There exists a unique node with in-degree 0 and out-degree

Figure 2 Rooted binary unlabeled galled trees. (A) A tree with no galls. (B) A galled tree with one gall. (C) A galled tree with a root gall. (D) A galled tree with two galls. (E) A galled tree that is not a normal galled tree and that is not included in the class of galled trees that we enumerate.

⁷⁰ 2. This node is the *root node*. (ii) *Leaf nodes* possess in-degree 1 and out-degree 0. (iii) $_{71}$ Non-leaf, non-root nodes possess in-degree 2 and out-degree 1 or in-degree 1 and out-degree $\frac{72}{12}$ 2. (iv) Edges are directed away from the root. Nodes with in-degree 2 and out-degree 1 are ⁷³ *reticulation nodes* (or *hybrid nodes*). Nodes with in-degree 1 and out-degree 2 are *tree nodes*.

 A *rooted galled tree* is a rooted phylogenetic network with three additional properties. (v) Each reticulation node a_r has a unique ancestor node r so that exactly two non-overlapping paths of edges connect r to a_r . Ignoring the direction of the edges, the two paths from r to *a_r* produce a cycle C_r . The cycle is termed a *gall*. (vi) Consider galls C_r and C_s , associated ⁷⁸ with reticulation nodes a_r and a_s , $a_r \neq a_s$. The sets of nodes in the galls C_r and C_s are τ_{19} disjoint. (vii) Ancestor node *r* and reticulation node a_r are separated by two or more edges. Condition (vii) encodes the requirement that we consider only *normal* galled trees (Figure [2\)](#page-2-0).

 We generally drop the terms *rooted* and *normal*, and refer only to *galled trees*, and where a distinction is necessary, *labeled* and *unlabeled* galled trees. Although a galled tree is not ⁸³ technically a tree due to the presence of cycles, we continue to refer to galled trees as trees. We similarly refer to the galled trees rooted at internal nodes of a galled tree as *subtrees*. Our view of galls as representations of biological merging events leads us to depict hybridizing nodes and their associated hybrid node on a horizontal line, representing the simultaneity of $\frac{87}{100}$ these nodes when a galled tree is taken to represent a structure evolving in time [\[2,](#page-12-5) [20\]](#page-13-9).

 A basic result describes the maximal number of galls possible in a galled tree with *n* leaves. A gall contains three or more descendant subtrees: one from the reticulation node, two from the hybridizing nodes, and one for each additional side node. Hence, the smallest ⁹¹ galled tree possesses $n = 3$ leaves. Adding a gall to a galled tree involves replacing one subtree with at least three subtrees, so that each gall adds at least two leaves. For a tree with *g* galls, the number of leaves satisfies $n \ge 2g + 1$, or $g \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ [\[20\]](#page-13-9).

⁹⁴ We will need to consider *compositions*, ordered lists of positive integers that sum to a 95 specified value. We denote by $C(a, b)$ the compositions of a natural number a into b parts. $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$ is the set of ordered lists of positive integers of length b, (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_b) , with sum equal σ to *a*. We denote by $C_p(a, b)$ the subset of $C(a, b)$ containing the *palindromic* compositions of 98 *a*, that is, the compositions (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_b) for which $i_j = i_{b-j+1}$ for each *j* from 1 to *b*.

⁹⁹ **3 Previous work**

¹⁰⁰ We review a number of results. The rooted binary unlabeled galled trees generalize the 101 rooted binary unlabeled trees without galls. Letting U_n denote the number of rooted binary u_0 unlabeled trees with no galls and letting $\mathcal{U}(t)$ denote the generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} U_n t^n$,

$$
u_{103} \t\mathcal{U}(t) = t + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{U}^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{U}(t^2). \t(1)
$$

19:4 Unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls

Denoting the radius of convergence by *ρ*, as $t \to \rho^-$, we have $\mathcal{U}(t) \sim 1 - \gamma \sqrt{1 - t/\rho}$, where ¹⁰⁵ $\gamma \approx 1.1300$ and $\rho \approx 0.4027$ [\[8,](#page-12-6) p. 55] [\[10,](#page-13-10) pp. 476-477]. The asymptotic approximation for ¹⁰⁶ the number of rooted binary unlabeled trees (with no galls) is,

$$
U_n = [t^n] \mathcal{U}(t) \sim \frac{\gamma}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n}.
$$
\n(2)

¹⁰⁸ In our previous work on rooted binary unlabeled normal galled trees [\[1\]](#page-12-4) (henceforth ¹⁰⁹ "unlabeled galled trees"), we obtained a recursion enumerating the A_n unlabeled galled trees 110 with *n* leaves and another recursion enumerating the $E_{n,q}$ unlabeled galled trees with a 111 specified number of galls *g*. We specifically considered the case of $g = 1$. We also studied the 112 asymptotics of A_n and $E_{n,1}$ through their generating functions. The generating function for ¹¹³ unlabeled galled trees, considering all possible numbers of galls, was found to be [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 36]

$$
114 \qquad \mathcal{A}(t) = t + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}(t^2) + 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{A}(t)} + \frac{\mathcal{A}(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{A}(t)]^2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{A}(t^2)]}. \tag{3}
$$

115 The three leftmost terms, identical to the generating function $\mathcal{U}(t)$ (eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-1)), arise from the ¹¹⁶ galled trees in which two subtrees descend immediately from the root. The other terms arise ¹¹⁷ from galled trees with a gall that contains the root, a *root gall*.

¹¹⁸ Using the *asymptotics of implicit tree-like classes* theorem [\[10,](#page-13-10) pp. 467-468], we obtained the asymptotics of the number of galled trees with *n* leaves, A_n [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 42]: $A_n = [t^n] A(t) \sim$ ¹²⁰ $\left[\delta/(2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\right]n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\alpha^{-n}$, where $\delta \approx 0.2793$ and $\alpha \approx 0.2073$. $\mathcal{A}(t)$ has convergence radius about 121 half that of $\mathcal{U}(t)$, so that galled trees are much more numerous than the trees without galls. ¹²² We also derived the generating function $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ and asymptotic growth of the number of ¹²³ unlabeled galled trees with exactly one gall. We state these results as propositions.

124 Proposition 1. [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 48] *The generating function* $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ *for the number of unlabeled galled* ¹²⁵ *trees with 1 gall satisfies*

$$
c_1(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{U}(t)} - \frac{1}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^2} + \frac{\mathcal{U}(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^3} + \frac{\mathcal{U}(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)][1 - \mathcal{U}(t^2)]}.
$$
(4)

127 **Proposition 2.** [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 50] *The asymptotic growth of the number* $E_{n,1}$ *of unlabeled galled* ¹²⁸ *trees with n leaves and 1 gall satisfies*

$$
E_{n,1} \sim \frac{1}{2\gamma^3 \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-n} = \frac{1}{\gamma^3 \sqrt{\pi}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-n}.
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

Proposition [2](#page-3-0) follows from the fact that as $t \to \rho^-$, $\mathcal{E}_1(t) \sim 1/[2\gamma^3(1-t/\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}]$. $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ in eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-1) $_{131}$ depends on $\mathcal{U}(t)$. Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-2) clarifies that the exponential growth of the number of unlabeled 132 galled trees with one gall is the same as that of the number of unlabeled galled trees with no ¹³³ galls; only the subexponential growth differs. We will generalize this result.

¹³⁴ **4 Recursion**

¹³⁵ **4.1 Recursion for** *g* **galls,** *En,g*

136 In [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 27], we obtained a recursion for $E_{n,q}$, the number of unlabeled galled trees with *n* 137 leaves and exactly g galls; Table 3 reported the numerical values $E_{n,q}$ up to $n = 18$. The 138 base cases are $E_{1,0} = 1$ and $E_{1,g} = 0$ for $g \ge 1$. We also write $E_{m,\ell} = 0$ when *m* is not a 139 positive integer, ℓ is not a positive integer, or both.

Proposition 3. *For* (n, g) *with* $n ≥ 2$ *and* $0 ≤ g ≤ \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ *, the number of unlabeled galled* ¹⁴¹ *trees with n leaves and g galls is*

$$
E_{n,g} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(n,2)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g+2,2)} \prod_{i=1}^{2} E_{c_i, d_i - 1} \right) + E_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{g}{2}} \right]
$$
(6)

 $+$

$$
+\left(\sum_{k=3}^{n}(k-2)\sum_{\mathbf{c}\in C(n,k)}\sum_{\mathbf{d}\in C(g-1+k,k)}\prod_{i=1}^{k}E_{c_i,d_i-1}\right) (7)
$$

 $+\left(\sum_{1}^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor}\right)$ \perp *a*=1 \sum **c**∈*Cp*(*n,*2*a*+1) \sum **d**∈*C*_{*p*}</sub> $(g-1+(2a+1),2a+1)$ $\prod_{i=1}^{a+1}$ *i*=1 144 **.** $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i} \right)$ **.** $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{c_{i}, d_{i}-1}$. (8)

145

 The approach is to use a recursion at the root node. We sum over all products of possible counts of subtrees, each with fewer than *n* leaves. Pairs of galled trees that are reflections of one another over the root—or the axis connecting the top node to the reticulation node of ¹⁴⁹ the root gall—are the same unlabeled galled tree, explaining the leading $\frac{1}{2}$. We add back terms for galled trees that are symmetric over the root, which are not double-counted.

 $\text{Line}(6)$ $\text{Line}(6)$ in Proposition [3](#page-3-3) enumerates galled trees with *n* leaves and *q* galls that do not ¹⁵² have a root gall. The first term traverses combinations of numbers of leaves in the two subtrees summing to *n* by traversing compositions **c** of *n* into 2 parts $(c \in C(n, 2))$. It also traverses combinations of placements of the g galls in the two subtrees. Because subtrees ¹⁵⁵ can possess 0 galls, these combinations are identified from compositions of $q + 2$ into 2 parts, subtracting 1 gall in each part $(d \in C(g+2, 2))$. The second term adds back the galled trees ¹⁵⁷ with identical subtrees; this term is nonzero only if both *n* and *g* are even.

 Line [\(7\)](#page-4-1) counts galled trees with *n* leaves and *g* galls that do have a root gall. It traverses the possible number *k* of subtrees descending from side nodes, hybridizing nodes, and the hybrid node of the root gall (3 to *n*, the number of leaves). It then traverses the *k*−2 possible nodes in the root gall where the hybrid node can be placed: all *k* nodes except immediate 162 descendants of the root. We then traverse the possible combinations of the *n* leaves and $q-1$ remaining (non-root) galls into the *k* subtrees, again allowing subtrees with no galls.

 Line [\(8\)](#page-4-2) adds back half the galled trees with *n* leaves and *g* galls that have a root gall and that are symmetric over the reticulation node. Here, *a* is the possible number of subtrees of ¹⁶⁶ the root gall on each side of the reticulation node, so that the root gall has $2a + 1$ subtrees in 167 total. The composition of the *n* leaves into $2a + 1$ subtrees and the composition of the $q - 1$ galls into those subtrees are both palindromic. Given these compositions, a tree is specified by its subtrees of one side of the reticulation node and the subtree of the reticulation node.

> $\prod_{i=1}^{a+1}$ *i*=1

 E_{c_i,d_i-1}

¹⁷⁰ **4.2 Recursion for two galls,** *En,*²

171 For $g = 2$, for $n \geq 2$, the recursion for $E_{n,g}$ becomes

$$
E_{n,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=0}^{2} E_{c,d} E_{n-c,2-d} \right) + E_{\frac{n}{2},1} \right]
$$

$$
+\sum_{k=3}^{n} (k-2) \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(n,k)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(k+1,k)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} E_{c_i, d_i-1}
$$

$$
\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \qquad a+1
$$

174 175

$$
+ \; \sum_{a=1} \; \sum_{{\bf c} \in C_p(n,2a+1)} \sum_{{\bf d} \in C_p(2a+2,2a+1)}
$$

176

$$
{177} = \frac{1}{2} \bigg[\bigg(2 \sum{m=1}^{n-1} U_m E_{n-m,2} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} E_{m,1} E_{n-m,1} \bigg) + E_{\frac{n}{2},1} \bigg]
$$

$$
+\sum_{k=3}^{n} (k-2) \sum_{m=k-1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(m,k-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} U_{c_i} \right) k E_{n-m,1} + \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C_p(n,2a+1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} U_{c_i} \right) E_{c_{a+1},1} \right]. \tag{9}
$$

180

181 Recall here that $E_{m,1} = 0$ if $m \notin \mathbb{N}$. In the first line, m gives the number of leaves in the "left" 182 subtree of the root and $n - m$ is the number in the "right" subtree (the left–right distinction ¹⁸³ is solely for convenience, as we consider non-plane trees, in which the particular embedding ¹⁸⁴ of a tree in the plane is disregarded). In the second line, *k* is the number of subtrees of the ¹⁸⁵ root gall, *m* is the number of leaves across the *k* − 1 subtrees of the root gall that *do not* 186 contain a gall, and $n - m$ is the number of leaves in the subtree with the second gall.

¹⁸⁷ **5 Analysis of** *En,*²

¹⁸⁸ **5.1 Generating function**

189 Using the recursion in eq. [\(9\)](#page-5-0), we now find the generating function of $E_{n,2}$, which we define ¹⁹⁰ by $\mathcal{E}_2(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} E_{n,2}t^n$. Eq. [\(9\)](#page-5-0) holds for all $n \geq 0$ because $E_{n,2} = 0$ for $n \leq 4$ and $E_{n,1} = 0$ 191 for $n \leq 2$. We can add terms involving U_0 , $E_{0,1}$, and $E_{0,2}$, all of which equal zero. Then

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} E_{n,2}t^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \Biggl[\underbrace{\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\left(2 \sum_{m=0}^{n} U_{m} E_{n-m,2} \right) + \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} E_{m,1} E_{n-m,1} \right) + E_{\frac{n}{2},1} \right) t^{n}}_{\mathcal{E}_{2_{i}}(t)} + \underbrace{\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=3}^{n} (k-2)k \sum_{m=k-1}^{n-1} \underbrace{\sum_{c \in C(m,k-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} U_{c_{i}} \right) E_{n-m,1}}_{\mathcal{E}_{2_{i}}(t)} \right) t^{n}}_{\mathcal{E}_{2_{i}}(t)} + \underbrace{\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \underbrace{\sum_{c \in C_{p}(n,2a+1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} U_{c_{i}} \right) E_{c_{a+1},1}}_{\mathcal{E}_{2_{i}}(t)} \right) t^{n}}_{\mathcal{E}_{2_{i}}(t)}.
$$
(10)

¹⁹⁶ We now simplify the three terms of $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2_i}(t) = 2 \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{n \ge m} (U_m t^m)(E_{n-m,2} t^{n-m}) + \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{n \ge m} (E_{m,1} t^m)(E_{n-m,1} t^{n-m}) + \sum_{n \ge 0} E_{\frac{n}{2},1} t^n
$$

= 2 $\sum (U_m t^m) \sum (E_{\ell,2} t^{\ell}) + \sum (E_{m,1} t^m) \sum (E_{\ell,1} t^{\ell}) + \sum E_{n,1} t^{2n}$

198

$$
\sum_{m\geq 0}^{n(m+1)} \sum_{\ell\geq 0}^{n(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)} \sum_{m\geq 0}^{n(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)} \sum_{\ell\geq 0}^{n(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)} \sum_{n\geq 0}^{n(\ell-1)(2\ell-1)} \sum_{n\ge
$$

²⁰¹ For $\mathcal{E}_{2ii}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2_{1i}}(t) = \sum_{k\geq 3} (k-2)k \sum_{m\geq k-1} \sum_{\mathbf{c}\in C(m,k-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} U_{c_i} t^{c_i} \sum_{n\geq m} E_{n-m,1} t^{n-m}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k\geq 3} (k-2)k \sum_{i_1\geq 0} \sum_{i_2\geq 0} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}\geq 0} U_{i_1} U_{i_2} \dots U_{i_{k-1}} t^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_{k-1}} \sum_{\ell\geq 0} E_{\ell,1} t^{\ell}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k\geq 3} (k-2)k U^{k-1}(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t) = \mathcal{E}_1(t) \Big[\sum_{k\geq 0} (k^2-1) U^k(t) \Big]
$$

₂₀

207

$$
\sum_{k\geq 3}^{k\geq 3} = \mathcal{E}_1(t) \Big[\Big(\sum_{k\geq 0} k^2 \mathcal{U}^k(t) \Big) - \mathcal{U}(t) - \Big(\sum_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{U}^k(t) \Big)
$$

$$
= \mathcal{E}_1(t) \left[\frac{\mathcal{U}(t) + \mathcal{U}^2(t)}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^3} - \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{U}(t)} + 1 \right]. \tag{12}
$$

 $+1 + \mathcal{U}(t)$

²⁰⁸ Finally, $\mathcal{E}_{2_{\text{iii}}}(t)$ becomes

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2_{\text{iii}}}(t) = \sum_{a \ge 1} \sum_{m \ge a} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(m,a)} \prod_{i=1}^{a} U_{c_i} t^{2c_i} \sum_{n \ge 2m} E_{n-2m,1} t^{n-2m}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{a \ge 1} \sum_{i_1 \ge 0} \sum_{i_1 \ge 0} \dots \sum_{i_a \ge 0} U_{i_1} U_{i_2} \dots U_{i_a} t^{2i_1+2i_2+\dots+2i_a} \sum_{\ell \ge 0} E_{\ell,1} t^{\ell}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{a \ge 1} \mu^a(t^2) \mathcal{E}_1(t) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1(t)}{1 - \mu(t^2)} - \mathcal{E}_1(t). \tag{13}
$$

212

²¹³ Summing the three parts, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4. The generating function $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$ for the number of unlabeled galled trees with ²¹⁵ *2 galls satisfies*

$$
z_{16} \t\t \t\t \mathcal{E}_2(t) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]} \left[\mathcal{E}_1(t) + \frac{\mathcal{U}(t) + \mathcal{U}^2(t)}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^3} - \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{U}(t)} + \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{U}(t^2)} \right] + \frac{\mathcal{E}_1(t^2)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]}. \t(14)
$$

²¹⁷ **5.2 Asymptotic analysis**

a≥1

To analyze the asymptotics of $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$ as $t \to \rho^-$, we take the highest-order terms in Proposition 219 [4,](#page-6-0) that is, the terms with the highest power of $1 - t/\rho$ in the denominator. We recall 220 $\mathcal{U}(t) \sim 1 - \gamma \sqrt{1 - t/\rho}$. From Proposition [1,](#page-3-4) $\mathcal{E}_1(t) \sim 1/[2\gamma^3(1 - t/\rho)^{\frac{3}{2}}]$. We have:

$$
E_2(t) \sim \frac{\mathcal{E}_1^2(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]} + \frac{2\mathcal{E}_1(t)}{2[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^4} = \frac{5}{8\gamma^7(1 - t/\rho)^{7/2}}.
$$
\n(15)

 222 To obtain a result for the coefficients $E_{n,2}$, we use the transfer formula (Corollary VI.1, page 223 392 and Theorem VI.4, page 393 in [\[10\]](#page-13-10))—according to which, if $f(t)$ is Δ -analytic with a singularity at *b*, and $f(t) \sim (1 - \frac{t}{b})^{-a}$ as $\frac{t}{b} \to 1$ with *t* in Δ , and $a \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, then ²²⁵ $[t^n] f(t) \sim n^{a-1} b^{-n} / \Gamma(a)$. Here, ρ fulfills the role of *b* and $\frac{7}{2}$ that of *a*.

 $\mathbf{226}$ **Proposition 5.** *The asymptotic growth of the number* $E_{n,2}$ *of unlabeled galled trees with n* ²²⁷ *leaves and 2 galls satisfies*

$$
E_{n,2} \sim \frac{5}{8\gamma^7 \Gamma(\frac{7}{2})} n^{\frac{5}{2}} \rho^{-n} = \frac{1}{3\gamma^7 \sqrt{\pi}} n^{\frac{5}{2}} \rho^{-n}.
$$
\n(16)

²²⁹ We note the appearance of $ρ^{-n}$ and $n^{5/2}$ to obtain the following corollary.

230 **I Corollary 6.** *The exponential growth of* $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$ *is the same as that of* $\mathcal{U}(t)$ *and* $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ *; however,* ²³¹ *its subexponential growth is greater.*

²³² **6 Analysis of** *En,g*

²³³ **6.1 Generating function**

²³⁴ We denote the generating function of the number of galled trees with exactly g galls by ²³⁵ $\mathcal{E}_g(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} E_{n,g} t^n$. Similarly to the case of $g = 2$, we use the recursion we had calculated ²³⁶ for $E_{n,g}$ in Proposition [3](#page-3-3) to derive the generating function. From Proposition [3,](#page-3-3) we can ²³⁷ decompose the generating function by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Biggl[\sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\left(\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(n,2)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g+2,2)} \prod_{i=1}^{2} E_{c_{i},d_{i}-1} \right) + E_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{g}{2}} \right) t^{n} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=3}^{n} (k-2) \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(n,k)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1+k,k)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} E_{c_{i},d_{i}-1} \right) t^{n} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C_{p}(n,2a+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C_{p}(g-1+2a+1,2a+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{a+1} E_{c_{i},d_{i}-1} \right) t^{n} \Biggr]. \tag{17}
$$

241

²⁴² where $E_{n,g} = 0$ for pairs with $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ and $g \ge 1$. The terms in the decomposition are

 ${\cal E}_{z \sim z}(t)$ $\varepsilon_{g_{\text{iii}}}(t)$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_1}(t) = 2 \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq m} (U_m t^m) (E_{n-m,g} t^{n-m}) + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq m} (E_{m,j} t^m) (E_{n-m,g-j} t^{n-m}) + \sum_{j=1}^{g} E_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{g}{2}} t^n
$$

244

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{i1}}(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \sum_{k \ge 3} (k-2) {k \choose \ell} \sum_{m \ge k-\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(m, k-\ell)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-\ell} U_{c_i} t^{c_i}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{n \ge m} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \in C(n-m,\ell)} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} E_{\tilde{c}_j,d_j} t^{\tilde{c}_j}
$$
(18)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{iii}}}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{a \ge 1} \binom{a}{\ell} \sum_{m_1 \ge a-\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C(m_1, a-\ell)} \prod_{i=1}^{a-\ell} U_{c_i} t^{2c_i}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{m \ge m_1 + \ell} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{c}} \in C(m-m_1, \ell)} \sum_{b=\ell}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(b, \ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} E_{\tilde{c}_j, d_j} t^{2c_j} \sum_{n \ge 2m} E_{n-2m, g-1-2b} t^{n-2m}, \tag{19}
$$

249

where it is convenient to denote U_n by $E_{n,0}$ for terms with $g-1-2b=0$ in $\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{ini}}}(t)$.

 $\text{In } \mathcal{E}_{g_i}(t), j \text{ is the number of gallons in the left subtree of the root, supposing both subtrees.}$ possess at least one gall. In $\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)$, ℓ is the number of subtrees of the root gall that possess at least one gall; *k* is the number of subtrees of the root gall, so that $\binom{k}{\ell}$ counts ways to select 254 which ℓ subtrees possess galls; and *m* is the number of leaves in the $k - \ell$ remaining subtrees. $\sum_{j=5}^{\infty}$ Similarly, in $\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{ini}}}(t)$, for symmetric root galls, ℓ is the number of subtrees of the left side ²⁵⁶ of the root gall that contain galls; *a* is the number of subtrees of the left side of the root gall;

²⁵⁷ *m*₁ is the sample size in the $a - \ell$ subtrees that do not possess galls; $m - m_1$ is the sample $\frac{1}{258}$ size in the ℓ subtrees that do possess galls; and *b* is the number of galls in those ℓ subtrees. ²⁵⁹ We now solve each part of the decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_i}(t) = 2 \sum_{m \ge 0} (U_m t^m) \sum_{\ell \ge 0} (E_{\ell,g} t^{\ell}) + \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \sum_{m \ge 0} (E_{m,j} t^m) \sum_{\ell \ge 0} (E_{\ell,g-j} t^{\ell}) + \sum_{n \ge 0} E_{n,\frac{g}{2}} t^{2n}
$$

= 2\mathcal{U}(t) \mathcal{E}_g(t) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \mathcal{E}_j(t) \mathcal{E}_{g-j}(t) \right) + \mathcal{E}_{\frac{g}{2}}(t^2). \tag{20}

262

²⁶³ where $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t) = 0$ for $\ell \notin \mathbb{N}$. The second part produces

264
\n
$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \sum_{k \ge \max(\ell,3)} (k-2) {k \choose \ell} \sum_{i_1 \ge 0} \sum_{i_2 \ge 0} \cdots \sum_{i_{k-\ell} \ge 0} U_{i_1} U_{i_2} \cdots U_{i_{k-\ell}} t^{i_1+i_2+\ldots+i_{k-\ell}}
$$
\n265
\n
$$
\times \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \sum_{j_1 \ge 0} \sum_{j_2 \ge 0} \cdots \sum_{j_\ell \ge 0} E_{j_1,d_1} E_{j_2,d_2} \cdots E_{j_\ell,d_\ell} t^{j_1+j_2+\ldots+j_\ell}
$$

 $\overline{2}$

$$
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \left(\sum_{k \ge \max(\ell,3)} (k-2) \binom{k}{\ell} \mathcal{U}^{k-\ell}(t) \right) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t)
$$

$$
= \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \left(\frac{3\mathcal{U}(t) - 2 + \ell}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^{\ell+2}} + [\ell = 1] \right) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t).
$$
 (21)

²⁶⁹ Here, [[·]] denotes the Iverson bracket. Finally, for the third part,

 $E_{j,g-1-2b}t^j$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{iii}}}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{a \ge 1} \binom{a}{\ell} \sum_{i_1 \ge 0} \sum_{i_2 \ge 0} \cdots \sum_{i_{a-\ell} \ge 0} U_{i_1} U_{i_2} \cdots U_{i_{a-\ell}} t^{2i_1+2i_2+\ldots+2i_{a-\ell}}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{b=\ell}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(b,\ell)} \sum_{j_1 \ge 0} \sum_{j_2 \ge 0} \cdots \sum_{j_\ell \ge 0} E_{j_1,d_1} E_{j_2,d_2} \cdots E_{j_\ell,d_\ell} t^{2j_1+2j_2+\ldots+2j_\ell}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{j\geq 0}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{a \geq 1} \binom{a}{\ell} \mathcal{U}^{a-\ell}(t^2) \right) \sum_{b=\ell}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(b,\ell)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t^2) \right) \mathcal{E}_{g-1-2b}(t)
$$

$$
= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t^2)]^{\ell+1}} - [\ell = 0] \right) \sum_{b=\ell}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(b,\ell)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t^2) \right) \mathcal{E}_{g-1-2b}(t). \quad (22)
$$

275

²⁷⁶ **6.2 Asymptotic analysis**

²⁷⁷ $\mathcal{E}_g(t)$ is the sum $\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{E}_{g_i}(t)+\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)+\mathcal{E}_{g_{iii}}(t)]$ (eq. [\(17\)](#page-7-0)). We denote $\mathcal{E}'_{g_i}(t) = (\sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \mathcal{E}_j(t) \mathcal{E}_{g-j}(t))$ + $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{g}{2}}(t^2)$ and have $\mathcal{E}_{g}(t) = \frac{1}{2[1-\mathcal{U}(t)]} [\mathcal{E}_{g_i}'(t) + \mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{g_{iii}}(t)]$. From eqs. [\(20\)](#page-8-0)-[\(22\)](#page-8-1), $\mathcal{E}_{g}(t)$ is a rational function in $\mathcal{U}(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t)$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq g-1$, as well as in $\mathcal{U}(t^2)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t^2)$ for 280 $1 \leq \ell \leq g-1$.

281 **Proposition 7.** *The generating function* $\mathcal{E}_q(t)$ *for the number of unlabeled galled trees with* ²⁸² *g galls satisfies as* $t \to \rho^-$

$$
\mathcal{E}_g(t) \sim \frac{\delta_g}{\gamma^{4g-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-1/2}},\tag{23}
$$

19:10 Unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls

where δ_g *is a constant dependent on g satisfying* $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ *, and for* $g \geq 2$ *,*

$$
\delta_g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \left[\delta_\ell \delta_{g-\ell} + (\ell+1) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j} \right]. \tag{24}
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. The claim holds for $g = 1$ (Proposition [1\)](#page-3-4) and $g = 2$ 287 (eq. [\(15\)](#page-6-1)), with $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{2} [\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{1}{2}] = \frac{5}{8}$. We assume inductively that for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., g-1$, $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t) \sim$ ²⁸⁸ $\delta_{\ell}/[\gamma^{4\ell-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2\ell-1/2}]$, with constants δ_{ℓ} as in eq. [\(24\)](#page-9-0). By the inductive hypothesis, the convergence radius of $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t)$ for each $\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq g-1$, is ρ . Because $t^2 < t$ for $t < \rho, \mathcal{U}(t^2)$ ²⁹⁰ and $\mathcal{E}_{\ell}(t^2)$ can be treated as constants when finding the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{E}_{g}(t)$. As a result, using the inductive hypothesis, all terms in $\mathcal{E}_g(t)$ take the form $c/[\gamma^m(1-t/\rho)^{m/2}]$, and we must find the terms with the maximal power of $1/\sqrt{1-t/\rho}$.

²⁹³ We examine $\mathcal{E}'_{g_i}(t)$, $\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)$, and then $\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)$. By the inductive hypothesis,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_i}'(t) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \left[\frac{\delta_j}{\gamma^{4j-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2j-1/2}} \cdot \frac{\delta_{g-j}}{\gamma^{4(g-j)-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2(g-j)-1/2}} \right]
$$

$$
\sim \sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \frac{\delta_j \delta_{g-j}}{\gamma^{4g-2}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-1}}
$$
(25)

297

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{iii}}}(t) \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{b=\ell}^{\lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{[1-\mathcal{U}(\rho^2)]^{\ell+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(b,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(\rho^2) \right) \frac{\delta_{g-1-2b}}{\gamma^{4g-8b-5}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-4b-5/2}}.
$$
\n(26)

299

Because the largest power of $1/(1 - t/\rho)$ in $\mathcal{E}_{g_{\text{ini}}}(t)$ is less than $2g - 1$, its largest power in ³⁰¹ $\mathcal{E}'_{g_i}(t)$, $\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)$ does not affect the asymptotics of $\mathcal{E}_{g}(t)$.

For $\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t)$, for any $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, g - 1$, two quantities determine the power of $1/\sqrt{1-t/\rho}$: $\sum_{d\in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t)$ and $[3\mathcal{U}(t) - 2 + \ell]/[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^{\ell+2} + [\ell] = 1]$. First, according to the inductive hypothesis, for each ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq g-1$, noting $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j = g-1$,

$$
\sum_{\substack{305 \\ \text{d}\in C(g-1,\ell)}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{d_j}(t) \sim \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in C(g-1,\ell)}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{\delta_{d_j}}{\gamma^{4d_j-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2d_j-1/2}}
$$
\n
$$
\sim \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in C(g-1,\ell)}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j}}{\gamma^{4g-4-\ell}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-2-\ell/2}}.
$$
\n(27)

Second, for ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq g-1$, from $\mathcal{U}(t) \sim 1 - \gamma \sqrt{1 - t/\rho}$,

$$
309 \qquad \left(\frac{3\mathcal{U}(t) - 2 + \ell}{[1 - \mathcal{U}(t)]^{\ell+2}} + [\ell = 1]\right) \sim \frac{\ell+1}{\gamma^{\ell+2} (1 - t/\rho)^{(\ell+2)/2}}.\tag{28}
$$

 $_{310}$ Combining eqs. [\(27\)](#page-9-1) and [\(28\)](#page-9-2), we obtain

311
$$
\mathcal{E}_{g_{ii}}(t) \sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j}}{\gamma^{4g-4-\ell} (1-t/\rho)^{2g-2-\ell/2}} \cdot \frac{\ell+1}{\gamma^{\ell+2} (1-t/\rho)^{(\ell+2)/2}}
$$
\n312
$$
\sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \frac{(\ell+1) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j}}{\gamma^{4g-2} (1-t/\rho)^{2g-1}}.
$$
\n(29)

313

³¹⁴ The proof is concluded by noting

$$
\mathcal{E}_{g}(t) \sim \left[\sum_{j=1}^{g-1} \frac{\delta_{j} \delta_{g-j}}{\gamma^{4g-2} (1-t/\rho)^{2g-1}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \frac{(\ell+1) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j}}{\gamma^{4g-2} (1-t/\rho)^{2g-1}} \right] \frac{1}{2\gamma (1-t/\rho)^{1/2}}
$$
\n
$$
\sim \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} \left[\delta_{\ell} \delta_{g-\ell} + (\ell+1) \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j} \right]}{2\gamma^{4g-1} (1-t/\rho)^{2g-1/2}}
$$
\n
$$
\delta_{g}
$$
\n(3)

315

$$
2\gamma^{4g-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-1/2}
$$

$$
\sim \frac{\delta_g}{\gamma^{4g-1}(1-t/\rho)^{2g-1/2}}.
$$
(30)

318

320 **I Theorem 8.** The asymptotic growth of the number $E_{n,q}$ of unlabeled galled trees with n 321 *leaves and a fixed number of galls* $q \ge 1$ *satisfies*

$$
E_{n,g} \sim \frac{\delta_g}{\gamma^{4g-1}\Gamma(2g-\frac{1}{2})} n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n} \sim \frac{2^{2g-1}\delta_g}{\gamma^{4g-1}(4g-3)!!\sqrt{\pi}} n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n}.\tag{31}
$$

³²³ **Proof.** The first step follows from the transfer formula. For the second step of eq. [\(31\)](#page-10-1), we $r = \cosh \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) = [(2n - 1)!!/2^n] \sqrt{\pi}$ with and $2g - \frac{1}{2} = (2g - 1) + \frac{1}{2}$.

The δ_g have a relationship with the Catalan numbers, $C_m = \binom{2m}{m} / (m+1)$.

326 *►* **Proposition 9.** *The numbers* $\{\delta_g\}_{g\geq 1}$ *satisfy* $2^{2g-1}\delta_g = C_{2g-1}$ *.*

Proof. We prove the result by showing that the generating function $\mathcal{D}(t) = \sum_{g\geq 1} 2^{2g-1} \delta_g t^{2g-1}$ 327 is the odd part of the generating function of the Catalan numbers, $C_O(t) = \sum_{g=1}^{n} C_{2g-1} t^{2g-1}$. $c_0(t)$ satisfies $c_0(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0} [C_n t^n - C_n (-t)^n] = \sum_{n \geq 1} C_{2n-1} t^{2n-1}$, where $c(t) =$ 330 $(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t})/(2t)$ is the generating function of the Catalan numbers. Hence, $C_O(t)$ = $\frac{1}{331}$ $\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{1 - 4t} + \sqrt{1 + 4t})\right] / (2t)$. From the recursion for δ_g (Proposition [7\)](#page-8-2),

$$
\mathcal{D}(t) = t + \sum_{g \ge 2} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} 2^{2g-2} \delta_{\ell} \delta_{g-\ell} \right) t^{2g-1} + \sum_{g \ge 2} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{g-1} (\ell+1) 2^{2g-2} \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(g-1,\ell)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \delta_{d_j} \right] t^{2g-1}
$$

= $t + \left[\sum 2^{2\ell-1} \delta_{\ell} t^{2\ell-1} \sum 2^{2(g-\ell)-1} \delta_{g-\ell} t^{2(g-\ell)-1} \right] t$

$$
{333} = t + \left[\sum{\ell \ge 1} 2^{2\ell - 1} \delta_{\ell} t^{2\ell - 1} \sum_{g \ge \ell + 1} 2^{2(g - \ell) - 1} \delta_{g - \ell} t^{2(g - \ell) - 1} \right] t
$$

$$
+\left[\sum_{\ell\geq 1}(\ell+1)(2t)^{\ell}\sum_{g\geq \ell+1}\sum_{\mathbf{d}\in C(g-1,\ell)}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}2^{2d_j-1}\delta_{d_j}t^{2d_j-1}\right]t
$$

$$
=t+t\mathcal{D}^2(t)+t\sum_{g\geq \ell+1}\left[\ell+1\right][2t\mathcal{D}(t)]^{\ell}
$$

$$
=t+t\mathcal{D}^2(t)+t\sum_{\ell\geq 1}(\ell+1
$$

$$
_{337} = t + t\mathcal{D}^2(t) + \frac{2t^2 \mathcal{D}(t)}{[1 - 2t\mathcal{D}(t)]^2} + \frac{2t^2 \mathcal{D}(t)}{1 - 2t\mathcal{D}(t)}.
$$
\n(32)

338 Solving for $\mathcal{D}(t)$, we obtain four solutions, only one of which has the correct limit of 0 as 339 $t \to 0$; this root is equal to $C_O(t)$. 340

 341 **Fheorem 10.** *The number of unlabeled galled trees with n leaves and any fixed number of* $_{342}$ *galls* $g \geq 0$ *has asymptotic approximation*

$$
E_{n,g} \sim \frac{2^{2g-1}}{(2g)! \gamma^{4g-1} \sqrt{\pi}} n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n}.
$$
\n(33)

19:12 Unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls

Table 1 The subexponential portion $c_g n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}}$ of the growth $c_g n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{-n}$ with the number of leaves *n* of $E_{n,q}$, the number of galled trees with exactly *g* galls. Quantities are computed according to eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-5) for $g = 0$ and Theorems [8](#page-10-2) and [10](#page-10-0) for $g \ge 1$.

Number of	Exact	Approximate	
galls q	constant c_q	value of c_q	$n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}}$
Ω	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$	0.3188	$n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$
	$\frac{1}{\gamma^3 \sqrt{\pi}}$	0.3910	$n^{\frac{1}{2}}$
$\overline{2}$	$\frac{5}{15\gamma^7\sqrt{\pi}}=\frac{8}{24\gamma^7\sqrt{\pi}}=\frac{1}{3\gamma^7\sqrt{\pi}}$	0.0799	$n^{\frac{5}{2}}$
3	$\frac{42}{945\gamma^{11}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{32}{720\gamma^{11}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{2}{45\gamma^{11}\sqrt{\pi}}$	0.0065	$n^{\frac{9}{2}}$
$\overline{4}$	$\frac{429}{135135\gamma^{15}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{128}{40320\gamma^{15}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{1}{315\gamma^{15}\sqrt{\pi}}$	2.8638×10^{-4}	$n^{\frac{13}{2}}$
5	$\frac{4862}{34459425\gamma^{19}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{512}{3628800\gamma^{19}\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{2}{14175\gamma^{19}\sqrt{\pi}}$	7.8062×10^{-6}	$n^{\frac{17}{2}}$

Proof. The Catalan numbers satisfy $C_n = 2^n(2n-1)!!/(n+1)!$, so that

$$
\frac{2^{2g-1}\delta_g}{(4g-3)!!} = \frac{C_{2g-1}}{(4g-3)!!} = \frac{2^{2g-1}[2(2g-1)-1]!!}{(4g-3)!!(2g-1+1)!} = \frac{2^{2g-1}}{(2g)!}.
$$

 \int_{344} The case of $g = 0$ is included, as $E_{n,0} \sim [2^{-1}/(\gamma^{-1}\sqrt{\pi})]n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\rho^{-n} = [\gamma/2\sqrt{\pi}]n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\rho^{-n} \sim U_n$.

345 Table [1](#page-11-0) depicts the subexponential growth of $E_{n,q}$ for each g from 1 to 5. For $g = 1$ and *g* = [2](#page-3-0), the theorem recovers the values obtained in Propositions 2 and [5.](#page-6-2)

 \bullet **Corollary 11.** *The exponential growth of the number* $E_{n,g}$ *of unlabeled trees with n leaves* 348 *and a fixed number of galls* $g \geq 1$ *is the same as that of* U_n *, the number of unlabeled trees with no galls; however, the subexponential growth is greater by a factor of* $4n^2/[\gamma^4(2g+1)(2g+2)]$ *.*

7 Discussion

 We have studied the number of rooted binary unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls, analyzing the exponential growth of this quantity as the number of leaves increases. We have found that the exponential growth, with the increase in the number of leaves n , of the number of galled trees with a fixed number of galls is independent of the number of ³⁵⁵ galls *g* (Corollary [11\)](#page-11-1). This independence includes the case of $q = 0$ galls, the classic case of rooted binary unlabeled trees. It also implies that the number of galled trees whose number of galls is in some finite set *G* also has this same exponential growth.

 The exponential growth with *n* of the number of galled trees with fixed *g* or with *g* in $\frac{359}{259}$ a finite set of values contrasts with the much greater increase in A_n , the number of galled trees with no restriction on the number of galls. This much larger growth for A_n is explained by the increase in the subexponential component with increasing *g* of the number of galled trees with *n* leaves and *g* galls, and the fact that with no maximum number of galls, as *n* increases, the number of terms in $A_n = \sum_{g\geq 0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} E_{n,g}$ grows without bound.

364 Our analysis produced a recursion for the Catalan numbers with odd indices: $C_{2n-1} =$ ³⁶⁵ $\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} C_{2m-1} C_{2(n-m)-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (m+1)2^m \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in C(n-1,m)} C_{2d_j-1}$. The first part comes from ³⁶⁶ terms of $C_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} C_m C_{(n-1)-m}$ with odd *m* and $(n-1) - m$; the second substitutes a sum involving Catalan numbers with odd index for terms with even *m* and $(n-1) - m$.

368 The difference across values of *g* in the growth of the number of trees with exactly $g \geq 0$ ³⁶⁹ galls lies in the subexponential component, $c_g n^{2g-\frac{3}{2}}$. Related problems involving labeled phylogenetic networks show this same pattern, in which incrementing a constant associated with network complexity does change the subexponential growth but not the exponential growth. In particular, this pattern is seen with increasingly many reticulation nodes in various network classes [\[6,](#page-12-7) [7,](#page-12-8) [11,](#page-13-11) [12,](#page-13-12) [13,](#page-13-13) [19\]](#page-13-14); the subexponential growth often includes a f_{374} factor of n^2 , as in our case. Note additionally that beginning from $g = 1$, the constant c_g in ³⁷⁵ the asymptotic approximation for $E_{n,g}$ decreases with *g* (eq. [\(31\)](#page-10-1), Table [1\)](#page-11-0). This property also holds for the labeled normal networks of Fuchs et al. [\[11,](#page-13-11) [12,](#page-13-12) [13\]](#page-13-13).

³⁷⁷ The study here deals with the asymptotic enumeration of galled trees when the number ³⁷⁸ of galls is fixed. Using the bivariate function $\mathcal{A}(t, u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{g \geq 0} E_{n,g} t^n u^g$, Section 5.6 of our previous study of galled trees showed that for a fixed number of *leaves*, the number of galls follows an asymptotic normal distribution [\[1,](#page-12-4) eq. 56]. The marginal analysis fixing the number of galls contributes a perspective on the bivariate distribution different from that of the previous analysis.

 We comment that we could potentially have derived our generating functions by the symbolic method [\[10\]](#page-13-10). Our approach instead began with constructive enumeration of possible cases, continuing the analysis based on a recursion derived in our previous study of galled trees [\[1\]](#page-12-4) in order to find the generating functions. The symbolic method, which we defer to a subsequent article, potentially leads to simpler derivations that enable quick comparisons of relationships among enumerations for different types of galled trees.

 By analyzing the asymptotics of *En,g* for arbitrary *g*, this work solves unsolved problems from [\[1\]](#page-12-4), who only analyzed $E_{n,1}$ and $A_n = \sum_{g\geq 0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} E_{n,g}$. The analysis has potential to assist in other scenarios with unlabeled phylogenetic networks indexed by a fixed quantity.

References

- **1** L. Agranat-Tamir, S. Mathur, and N. A. Rosenberg. Enumeration of rooted binary unlabeled galled trees. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 86:45, 2024. [doi:10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-024-01270-8) [s11538-024-01270-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-024-01270-8). **2** F. Bienvenu, A. Lambert, and M. Steel. Combinatorial and stochastic properties of ranked tree-child networks. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 60:653–689, 2022. [doi:10.1002/rsa.](https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.21048) [21048](https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.21048). **3** M. Bouvel, P. Gambette, and M. Mansouri. Counting phylogenetic networks of level 1 and 2. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 81:1357–1395, 2020. [doi:10.1007/s00285-020-01543-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-020-01543-5). **4** G. Cardona and L. Zhang. Counting and enumerating tree-child networks and their subclasses. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 114:84–104, 2020. [doi:10.1016/j.jcss.2020.06.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2020.06.001) [001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2020.06.001). **5** K.-Y. Chang, W.-K. Hon, and S. V. Thankachan. Compact encoding for galled-trees and its applications. In *2018 Data Compression Conference*, pages 297–306, Snowbird, UT, 2018. [doi:10.1109/DCC.2018.00038](https://doi.org/10.1109/DCC.2018.00038). **6** Y. S. Chang and M. Fuchs. Counting Phylogenetic Networks with Few Reticulation Vertices: Galled and Reticulation-Visible Networks. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 86(7):76, 2024. [doi:10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-024-01309-w) [s11538-024-01309-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-024-01309-w). **7** Y.-S. Chang, M. Fuchs, and G.-R. Yu. Galled tree-child networks. In *35th International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms*, volume 302, Article 2 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics, LIPIcs*. Schloss Dagstuhl—Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Wadern, 2024.
- **8** L. Comtet. *Advanced Combinatorics*. Reidel, Boston, 1974. [doi:10.1007/978-94-010-2196-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-2196-8).

9 J. Felsenstein. *Inferring Phylogenies*. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland MA, 2004.

19:14 Unlabeled galled trees with a fixed number of galls

- **10** P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511801655](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801655).
- **11** M. Fuchs, B. Gittenberger, and M. Mansouri. Counting phylogenetic networks with few reticulation vertices: tree-child and normal networks. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 73:385–423, 2019.
- **12** M. Fuchs, B. Gittenberger, and M. Mansouri. Counting phylogenetic networks with few reticulation vertices: exact enumeration and corrections. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 81:257–282, 2021.
- **13** M. Fuchs, E.-Y. Huang, and G.-R. Yu. Counting phylogenetic networks with few reticulation vertices: a second approach. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 320:140–149, 2022. [doi:10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2022.03.026) [j.dam.2022.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2022.03.026).
- **14** D. Gusfield. *ReCombinatorics*. MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 2014.
- **15** D. Gusfield, S. Eddhu, and C. Langley. Efficient reconstruction of phylogenetic networks with constrained recombination. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Bioinformatics*, pages 363–374, 2003. [doi:10.1109/CSB.2003.1227337](https://doi.org/10.1109/CSB.2003.1227337).
- **16** D. Gusfield, S. Eddhu, and C. H. Langley. The fine structure of galls in phylogenetic networks. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 16:459–469, 2004. [doi:10.1287/ijoc.1040.0099](https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.1040.0099).
- **17** D. H. Huson, R. Rupp, and C. Scornavacca. *Phylogenetic Networks: Concepts, Algorithms and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511974076](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511974076).
- **18** S. Kong, J. C. Pons, L. Kubatko, and K. Wicke. Classes of explicit phylogenetic networks and their biological and mathematical significance. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 84:47, 2022. [doi:10.1007/s00285-022-01746-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-022-01746-y).
- **19** M. Mansouri. Counting general phylogenetic networks. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 83:40–86, 2022.
- **20** S. Mathur and N. A. Rosenberg. All galls are divided into three or more parts: recursive enumeration of labeled histories for galled trees. *Algorithms in Molecular Biology*, 18:1, 2023. [doi:10.1186/s13015-023-00224-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13015-023-00224-4).
- **21** C. Semple and M. Steel. *Phylogentics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- **22** C. Semple and M. Steel. Unicyclic networks: compatibility and enumeration. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 3:84–91, 2006. [doi:10.1109/](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCBB.2006.14) [TCBB.2006.14](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCBB.2006.14).
- **23** Y. S. Song. A concise necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a galled-tree. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 3:186–191, 2006. [doi:10.1109/TCBB.2006.15](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCBB.2006.15).
- **24** T. Warnow. *Computational Phylogenetics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018. [doi:10.1017/9781316882313](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316882313).